

EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT (EqIA)

Summary results of the EqIA

Title of policy/practice/process/service:

Advice and Assistance (A&A) and matters of Scots law (children's matters)

Is the policy new (proposed), a revision to an existing policy or a review of current policy?

Review of current policy.

Key findings from this assessment (or reason why an EqIA is not required):

The assessment undertaken, including internal discussions and consultation, suggests there are no impacts of this policy which specifically relate to equalities considerations.

Summary of actions taken because of this assessment:

No action is required because of this assessment.

Ongoing actions beyond implementation include:

No specific ongoing actions identified because of this assessment.

Lead person(s) for this assessment (job title and department only):

Head of Civil and Children's Legal Assistance and Policy Projects Manager.

Senior responsible owner agreement that the policy has been fully assessed against the needs of the general duty (job title only):

Director of Operations.

Publication date (for completion by Communications):					
25/06/2024	25/06/2024				
Document contro	วไ				
Document control:Advice and Assistance (A&A) and matters of Scots law (children's matters) v0.2					
Date policy live f	Date policy live from: Live				
Review cycle: Every		Every three years			
Document change log					
Version/Author Date Comment					
V0.1 JO 30/11/22 First draft reviewed by GALA Review Group					
V0.2 KF 28/02/2024 Section 3 updated following consultation					

Step 1 - Framing the planned change

Discussing step 1 and step 2 with the Policy Officer (Equalities) at an early stage will help identify appropriate evidence. This may include support from the wider Policy and Development team.

1.1 Briefly describe the aims, objectives and purpose of the policy/practice/process/service.

The purpose of our policy in this area is to provide clarity for how we approach the definition of a 'matter of Scots law' in our operational decision-making. Section D from the relevant policy statement is provided below.

"Our policy is to assess the information provided to determine if the matter being advised upon concerns the application of Scots law to a person's circumstances. We apply the following test to come to our decision, whether the advice to be given falls under:

- the Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011, or
- Part 4 of the Age of Criminal Responsibility (Scotland) Act 2019.

Where the test is satisfied, we determine that this is advice on a matter concerning the application of Scots law to a person's circumstances.

Our policy is that advice about the application of Scots law to a person's circumstances includes advising Scots law is not applicable and affords no remedy, as well as limited advice or assistance about where other help could be obtained."

1.2 Why is the change required?

Routine review as part of the GALA project.

1.3 Who is affected by this policy/practice/process/service?

The ultimate customer is the client to whom legal services, supported through the Legal Aid Fund, are provided. Solicitors and advocates work with the policies and guidance we develop. They are affected by the policy but not in relation to their protected characteristics.

1.4 Policy/practice/process/service implementation date?

Policy is currently live.

1.5 What other SLAB policies or projects may be linked to or affected by changes to this policy/practice/process/service? Part of the suite of policies that form the basis for considering whether a grant made by a solicitor is valid.

Step 2: Consider the available evidence and data relevant to your policy/practice/process/service

The information you gather in this section will:

- help you to understand the importance of your policy/practice/process/service for different equality groups,
- inform the depth of equality impact assessment you need to do (this should be proportional to the potential impact on equality groups), and
- provide justification and an audit trail behind your decisions, including where it is agreed an equality impact assessment is not required.
- 2.1 What information is available about the experience of each equality group in relation to this policy/practice/process/service?

Stay focused on the topic and scope of your policy/practice/process/service. Does the policy/practice/process/service relate to an area where there are already known inequalities? Refer to the EqIA guidance for sources of evidence. Remember, this step in the EqIA process is NOT about the impact your policy has on equality groups and what we need to do to mitigate those. That assessment is done under Step 4.

Note: If you proceed to a full EqIA you should continue to add to this section as you develop the policy/practice/process/service, come across new evidence and/or undertake a consultation.

Equality characteristics	Evidence source (web link, report, survey, complaint)	What does the evidence tell you about the experiences of this group in relation to the policy/practice/process/service? Lack of evidence may suggest a gap in knowledge/need for consultation (step 3).
Cross cutting	(1) Internal review of data and the legal aid landscape	The profile of applicants to the Legal Aid Fund is patterned by protected characteristics within different aid types (for example, between civil and criminal legal aid) and by case categories within those aid types (for example, between those seeking to oppose interdict orders and those seeking divorce). We have no control over who applies to the Fund, which operates on eligibility rather than entitlement basis. Solicitors are the key intermediaries who decide which clients and which cases they take on. The aggregate position is the result of complex interactions between societal trends, changes in wider justice sector processes, individual firms' appetite to undertake legally aided work for a particular case or client, and the actual legal issue at play. For example, we do not control which jurisdiction a matter falls into, nor who is prosecuted, referred to a children's panel, or who seeks a divorce. (1)

Equality characteristics	Evidence source (web link, report, survey, complaint)	What does the evidence tell you about the experiences of this group in relation to the policy/practice/process/service? Lack of evidence may suggest a gap in knowledge/need for consultation (step 3).		
Age and sex	(1) Administrative data (internal)	Children's A&A and ABWOR applications 2021-2022 % of total applicants in each age/sex band 85 and over 80 to 84 75 to 79 70 to 74 65 to 69 60 to 64 55 to 59 50 to 54 45 to 49 40 to 44 35 to 39 30 to 34 25 to 29 20 to 24 15 to 19 10 to 14 5 to 9 0 to 4		
		30% 25% 20% 15% 10% 5% 0% 5% 10% 15% 20% 25% 30% Our administrative data shows that people granted children's A&A and ABWOR are more likely to be female (65%) and that the majority fall into the 25-44 age band (68%). (1)		
Disability		No evidence found.		
Race				

Equality characteristics	Evidence source (web link, report, survey, complaint)	What does the evidence tell you about the experiences of this group in relation to the policy/practice/process/service? Lack of evidence may suggest a gap in knowledge/need for consultation (step 3).
Gender		
Reassignment		
Sexual		
orientation		
Religion or		
Belief		
Pregnancy or		
maternity		
Marriage/civil		
partnership		
Care	(1) Knowledge and	The Children's Hearings (Scotland) Act 2011 is the legislation under which someone may
Experienced	experience	become care experienced. (1)
(corporate	(internal)	
parenting duty)		

2.2 Using the information above and your knowledge of the policy/practice/process/service, summarise your overall assessment of how important and relevant the policy/practice/process/service is likely to be for equality groups.

The evidence and our knowledge of the policy, suggests that this policy is not relevant for equality groups.

2.3 Outcome of step 2 and next steps. Complete the table below to inform the next stage of the EqIA process.

Outcome of Step 2 following initial evidence gathering and	Yes/ No	Next steps
relevance to equality characteristics	(Y or N)	
There is no relevance to equality or our corporate parenting	Y	Proceed to Step 5: agree with decision makers that no
duties		EqIA is required based on current evidence

There is relevance to some or all of the equality groups and/or	N	Proceed to Step 3: complete full EqIA
our corporate parenting duties		
It is unclear if there is relevance to some or all of the equality	N	Proceed to Step 3: complete full EqIA
groups and/or our corporate parenting duties		

Note: Whilst step 2.3 suggests that a full EqIA is not required, we have nonetheless completed a full assessment, including steps 3 and 4 below. This reflects the fact that we consulted on this policy, alongside the full range of A&A/ABWOR policies, and wish to record the outcome of that consultation.

Step 3 - stakeholder involvement and consultation

This step will help you to address any gaps in evidence identified in Step 2. Speaking to people who will be affected by your policy/practice/process/service can help clarify the impact it will have on different equality groups.

Remember that sufficient evidence is required for you to show 'due regard' to the likely or actual impact of your policy/practice/process/service on equality groups. An inadequate analysis in an assessment may mean failure to meet the general duty.

The Policy and Development team can help to identify appropriate ways to engage with external groups or to undertake research to fill evidence gaps.

3.1 Do you/did you have any consultation or involvement planned for this policy/practice/process service? Yes.

3.2 List all the stakeholder groups that you will talk to about this policy/practice/process/service.

We published a consultation covering this policy area on our website, with responses open to all. A link to the consultation was also provided to all solicitors registered to provide legal aid as part of a broader mailshot to the profession.

3.3 What did you learn from the consultation/involvement? Remember to record relevant actions in the assessment action log.

In autumn 2023, we undertook a public consultation concerning our policies on A&A and ABWOR. This included a specific question regarding the equalities implications of our policies. The consultation documents were publicly available on SLAB's website. The consultation received three responses, all of which came from solicitor firms. Whilst this particular policy fell within the scope of that consultation, none of the comments received were directly relevant to this policy area. Therefore, our assessment for the purposes of this EqIA has not changed following the consultation exercise.

Step 4 - Impact on equality groups and steps to address these

You must consider the three aims of the general duty for each protected characteristic. The following questions will help:

- Is there potential for discrimination, victimisation, harassment or other unlawful conduct that is prohibited under the Equality Act 2010? *How will this be mitigated*?
- Is there potential to advance equality of opportunity between people who share a characteristic and those who do not? How can this be achieved?
- Is there potential for developing good relations between people who share a relevant protected characteristic and those who do not? *How can this be achieved*?
- 4.1 Does the policy/practice/process/service have any impacts (whether intended or unintended, positive or negative) on any of the equality characteristics?

In the tables below, record the impact the policy/practice/process/service might have on each equality characteristic, as it is planned or as it operates, and describe what changes in policy/practice process/service or actions will be required to mitigate that impact. Copy any actions across to the project action log.

Per the table below, our view is that this specific policy is unlikely to have any specific impacts - negative or otherwise - on any of the equality characteristics.

Cross-cutting/all	Place 'X' in the relevant		evant	Describe the changes or actions (if any) you plan to take. For example,
protected	box(es)			to mitigate any impact, maximise the positive impact, or record your
characteristics	Positive Negative No		No	justification to not make changes despite the potential for adverse
	impacts impacts impact		impact	impact.

potential for discrimination	Х	
potential for developing good relations	Х	
potential to advance equality of opportunity	Х	

4.2 Describe how the assessment so far might affect other areas of this policy/practice/process/service and/or project timeline?

Our view is that nothing in the assessment suggests that there are specific equality impacts of this policy.

4.3 Having considered the potential or actual impacts of your policy/practice/process/service on equality groups, you should now record the outcome of this assessment below.

Choose from one of the following (mark with an X or delete as appropriate):

Please	Implications for the policy/practice/process/service
select	
(X)	
	No major change
Х	Your assessment demonstrates that the policy/practice/process/service is robust. The evidence shows no potential for
~	unlawful discrimination and that you have taken all opportunities to advance equality of opportunity and foster good
	relations, subject to continuing monitoring and review.

Step 5 - Discuss and review the assessment with decision makers and governance structures

You must discuss the findings of this assessment with senior decision makers during the lifetime of the project/review and before you finalise the assessment. Relevant groups include, but are not limited to, a Project Board, Executive Team or Board members. EqIA should be on every project board agenda therefore only note dates where key decisions have been made (for example draft EqIA sign off, discussion about consultation response).

5.1 Record details of the groups you report to about this policy/practice/process/service and impact assessment. Include the date you presented progress to each group and an extract from the minutes to reflect the discussion.

In December 2022, after the policy and EqIA were developed over the previous month with senior operational staff input, the EqIA was then considered and discussed by the GALA Review Meeting, who then signed off the EqIA.

Step 6 - Post-implementation actions and monitoring impact

There may be further actions or changes planned after the policy/practice/process/service is implemented and this assessment is signed off. It is important to continue to monitor the impact of your policy/practice/process/service on equality groups to ensure that your actual or likely impacts are those you recorded. This will also highlight any unforeseen impacts.

6.1 Record any ongoing actions below.

This can be copied from the project action log or elsewhere in this assessment and should include timescales and person/team responsible. If there are no outstanding items, please make this clear.

N/A.

- 6.2 Note here how you intend to monitor the impact of this policy/practice/process/service on equality groups. In the table below you should:
 - list the relevant measures,
 - identify who or which team is responsible for implementing or monitoring any changes,
 - identify where the measure will be reported to ensure any issues can be acted on as appropriate.

Measure	Lead department/ individual	Reporting (where/ frequency)
No specific measures identified given lack of impact.	N/A	N/A

6.3 EqlA review date.

This EqIA should be reviewed as part of the post-implementation review of the policy/practice/process/service. The date should not exceed three years from the policy/practice/process/service implementation date. 14/12/2025.

Step 7 - Assessment sign off and approval

Once final consultation has been undertaken with Corporate Policy Officer (Equalities), all equality impact assessments must be signed off by the relevant Director or Senior Responsible Owner (SRO), even where an EqIA is not required. The Chief Executive must approve all equality impact assessments. Note the relevant dates here:

Director/SRO sign off:	14/12/2022.
Chief Executive approval:	14/12/2022.

All full equality impact assessments must be published on SLAB's website as early as possible after the decision is made to implement the policy, practice, process or service.