

15TH JUNE 1987

THE LAW SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND
LEGAL AID CENTRAL COMMITTEE

MEMORANDUM

From [REDACTED] (Civil A/CS) To [REDACTED]

37/80 | 217459 | 82

Legal Aid certificate issued to Defend Action of Interdict, Payment, Recall of Interim Interdict for [REDACTED] Applications lodged for [REDACTED] + [REDACTED] [REDACTED] (1st & 2nd named defenders) around the same time but abandoned approx June '83 due to failure to co-operate with DHSS.

The account rendered includes work relating to all four defenders (the fourth being the company [REDACTED] to which [REDACTED] & [REDACTED] are directors). The solicitors do not agree that the account should be apportioned. Their argument is that [REDACTED] is carrying all the others on his back as it was the duty of the Reporters when they considered [REDACTED] application to consider the situation and as they issued the certificate to him they did in fact agree that the other defenders be carried on his back! It has already been explained to them that there is no question of these defenders being allowed to ride on the back of the Legally Aided third defender.

29.6.87
I agree that as A. had an interest to defend he was entitled to LA in his own right, but Sols could not expect us to allow him to carry other litigants on his back. Abate common charges as appropriate according to no of parties involved